

# Talk 2 (10/9/20):

Issues from last time:

## § Group actions and D-modules

$X = \text{smooth variety} / \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_X = \text{sheaf of diff operators}$

$H = \text{algebraic group} \curvearrowright X$

Then  $H$  acts on  $\mathcal{D}_X$  in the sense that

- for all  $h \in H$ , gives

$$h: h^* \mathcal{D}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$$

*pullback as given sheaf on  $X \times X$*

algebra homomorphism.

- have

$$i_{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$$

Lie algebra hom s.t.

$$h \cdot \partial = [i_{\mathfrak{h}}(h), \partial] \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}$$

*derivative of H-action*

Get  $i_{\mathfrak{h}}$  by differentiating left  $H$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_X$  given by

$$(h \cdot f)(x) = f(h^{-1}x), \quad h \in H, f \in \mathcal{O}_X, x \in X.$$

Remark:  $i_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a quantisation of the moment map  $\mu: T^*X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

Def<sup>n</sup>: A weak  $(D_X, H)$ -module is a quasi-coherent left  $D_X$ -module  $M$ , equipped with an action of  $H$ :

$$h: h^* M \longrightarrow M, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}$$

(map of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules), s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^*(D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M) & \longrightarrow & h^* M \\ \downarrow h^* & \cong & \downarrow h \\ h^* D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} h^* M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow h \otimes h & & \\ D_X \otimes M & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

• A  $(D_X, H)$ -module is a weak  $(D_X, H)$ -module  $M$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{h}\text{-action} & \text{derivative of} & \mathfrak{h}\text{-action} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{iv}(h) m & = & h \cdot m \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} \end{array}$$

## Monodromic D-modules

Now suppose  $H$  is a torus and  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a principal  $H$ -bundle.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D_{\tilde{X}}, H)\text{-modules} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D_X\text{-modules} \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\pi_* M)^H \\
 \pi^* N & \xleftarrow{\quad} & N \quad \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \text{pushforward} \\ \text{as } U_X\text{-mods} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Weak  $(D_{\tilde{X}}, H)$ -modules := monodromic  $\tilde{D}$ -modules on  $X$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}\text{-modules on } X$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{D} = (\pi_* D_{\tilde{X}})^H$$

= centraliser of  $i_{\tilde{Y}}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \pi_* D_{\tilde{X}}$ .

$\Rightarrow \tilde{D}$  has  $U(\tilde{Y}) = S(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \text{centre}$

and  $D_X = \tilde{D} \otimes_{S(\tilde{Y})} \mathbb{C}$ . ( $\tilde{Y}$  acts by zero on invariants)

Quantisation of  $T^*X = \mu^{-1}(0)/H$ .

Example:  $X = \text{pt}$ ,  $H = \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$   
 $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$

The left action on functions is

$$t \cdot z = t^{-1} z.$$

$h = t \partial_t$  is sent to  $-z \partial_z$  under  $i_{\tilde{Y}}$ .

Monodromic  $\mathbb{D}$ -modules

||

Graded  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -modules  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda$   
s.t.  $\deg(z) = -1$

+  $h = -z\partial_z : M \rightarrow M$  degree 0

s.t.  $[h, z] = -1$ .

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbb{D}} = \mathbb{C}[h] = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules

$M \mapsto M_0$ .

$(\mathbb{D}_{\tilde{X}}, H)$ -modules

||

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{---} + h \cdot m = \lambda m$  for  $m \in M_\lambda$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[h]$ -modules on which  
 $h = 0$

||

Vector spaces =  $\mathbb{D}\text{-mod}(\text{pt})$ .

Now to flag varieties again:

$G$  reductive alg grp

$\subset$   
 $\mathbb{B}$   
 $\subset$   
 $N$

Borel,  $H = \mathbb{B}/N$ .

$N$  unipotent radical

## Conventions:

1) [Beilinson - Bernstein "Tantzen conjectures"]

$B = B^-$  (negative roots)

Take  $X = G/B^-$ ,  $\tilde{X} = G/N^-$ ,  $h \cdot gN^- = gh^-N^-$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define } \mathcal{L}_\lambda &= G \times^{B^-} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \\ &= \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda)^H \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lambda$  dominant  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda \neq \emptyset$

- $H^0(G/B^-, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = L(\lambda)$

- $\mathcal{D}^\lambda = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} / (i_y(h) + \lambda(h) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h})$   
 $= \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ .

[Mistake  
in first  
talk]

2) [E.g. Gaitsgory's notes on category  $\mathcal{O}$ ]

$B = B^+$  (positive roots)

$X = G/B^+$ ,  $\tilde{X} = G/N^+$ ,  $h \cdot gN^+ = gh^-N^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define } \mathcal{L}_\lambda &= \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})_\lambda = \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})^H \\ &= G \times^{B^+} \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Then:

- $H^0(G/B^+, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = L(-w_0\lambda) = L(\lambda)^*$

- $\mathcal{D}^\lambda = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} / (i_y(h) - \lambda(h) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h})$   
 $= \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$

acts on  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ .

For this talk, let's follow convention 1.

## Examples of localisation

$$\text{Let } G = \text{Sl}_2, B^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, N^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$G/N^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}, G/B^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} N^- \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H\text{-action: } \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} tx \\ ty \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} i_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{h}) = -x\partial_x - y\partial_y.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Opposite sign from last time.

$$e := i_{\mathfrak{g}}(e) = -y\partial_x$$

$$h := i_{\mathfrak{g}}(h) = y\partial_y - x\partial_x$$

$$f := i_{\mathfrak{g}}(f) = -x\partial_y.$$

$$\text{Charts: } y \neq 0, z = \frac{x}{y}, \partial_z = y\partial_x.$$

$$\mathbb{A}^2|_{y \neq 0} \cong \mathbb{A}[z, h, \partial_z]$$

$$e = -\partial_z, h = -(\tilde{h} + 2z\partial_z)$$

$$f = \tilde{h}z + z^2\partial_z$$

•  $x \neq 0, w = \frac{y}{x}, \partial_w = x \partial_y.$

$$\mathbb{D}^2|_{x \neq 0} \cong \mathbb{C}[w, \tilde{h}, \partial_w]$$

$$e = \tilde{h}w + w^2 \partial_w, \quad h = \tilde{h} + 2w \partial_w$$

$$f = -\partial_w \cdot z = w^{-1} \tilde{z}$$

Change of coords:  $\partial_z = -\tilde{h}w - w^2 \partial_w$

$$\mathbb{D}^2: \text{ set } \tilde{h} = -\lambda.$$

Ex. ① localise the Verma module  $M(\lambda)$ :

$$M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

$$\Delta(M(\lambda)) = \mathbb{D}^\lambda \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

$$= \mathbb{D}^\lambda \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{D}^\lambda v}{\mathbb{D}^\lambda e v + \mathbb{D}^\lambda (h - \lambda)v}$$

generator  $\swarrow$

On charts:  $y \neq 0$

$$\Delta(M(\lambda)) = \frac{\mathbb{D}^\lambda v}{\partial_z v = 0 = -2z \partial_z v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1_z}$$

$v \mapsto 1$

$$\underline{x \neq 0}$$

$$\Delta(M(\lambda)) = \frac{D^\lambda v}{\begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 \partial_\omega v = \lambda \omega v \\ 2\omega \partial_\omega v = 2\lambda v \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{D^\lambda v}{\omega \partial_\omega v = \lambda v}$$

Ex. ② localise the Verma module

$$M(\omega_0 \cdot \lambda) = M(-\lambda - 2)$$

$$\Delta(M(-\lambda - 2)) = \frac{D^\lambda v}{D^\lambda e v + D^\lambda (h + \lambda + 2)v}$$

On charts:  $y \neq 0$

$$\Delta(M(-\lambda - 2)) = \frac{D^\lambda v}{\partial_z v = 0 = [2\lambda + 2 - 2z\partial_z]v}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{unless } \lambda = -1$$

↑  
bad hyperplane.  
(not dominant)

•  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\Delta(M(-\lambda - 2)) = \frac{D^\lambda v}{\begin{aligned} \omega^2 \partial_\omega v &= \lambda \omega v \\ 2\omega \partial_\omega v + 2v &= 0 \end{aligned}} = \frac{D^\lambda v}{\omega v = 0} \quad \text{unless } \lambda = -1$$

=  $\delta$  module at  $\omega = 0$ .

General story:

$$\text{Set } \dot{X}_w = N^+ \backslash B^- / B^- \xrightarrow{j_w} G/B^-$$

$$\Delta(M(w, \lambda)) = j_w!(\mathcal{U}_{\dot{X}_w}^{\circ})$$

Dual Verma of  
highest wt  $\lambda$



$$\Delta(M^{\vee}(w, \lambda)) = j_w^*(\mathcal{U}_{\dot{X}_w}^{\circ})$$

since  
 $N^+ \backslash B^- / N^- \rightarrow \dot{X}_w$   
 has an  $N^+$ -equivariant  
 section.

$$D_{\dot{X}_w}^{\lambda} \cong D_{\dot{X}_w}^{\circ}$$

$$\Delta(L(w, \lambda)) = \text{image of } j_w^*(\mathcal{U}_{\dot{X}_w}^{\circ}) \rightarrow j_w!(\mathcal{U}_{\dot{X}_w}^{\circ})$$

Note: These  $D$ -modules are  
 $N^+$ -equivariant. In fact

$$F: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N^+ \text{-equivariant} \\ D^{\lambda} \text{-modules} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N^+ \text{-integrable} \\ U^{\lambda}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{-modules} \end{array} \right\}$$

So structure of  $N^+$ -integrable  $U^{\lambda}(\mathfrak{g})$ -mods  
 should be closely related to

$N^+$ -orbits  $\dot{X}_w$  on  $G/B^-$ .